

Court Denies Injunctive Relief In Maryland Gerrymandering Case

18 Jun 2018

In [Benisek v. Lamone](#), several Republican voters filed suit in 2017 challenging Maryland's 2011 redrawing of its Sixth District as being gerrymandered against their constitutional rights. Those voters moved for a preliminary injunction in the district court, to allow the creation of a new districting map. The district court denied that relief and stayed the proceedings pending the decision in [Gill v. Whitford](#). On appeal, a *per curiam* Court affirmed, holding that the voters were not entitled to a preliminary injunction (which would have prevented use of the existing district map) because they failed to show reasonable diligence by waiting six years to file suit, and the relief would not serve the public interest by having a "chaotic and disruptive effect upon the electoral process."

Court Denies Injunctive Relief In Maryland Gerrymandering Case

18 Jun 2018

In [Benisek v. Lamone](#), several Republican voters filed suit in 2017 challenging Maryland's 2011 redrawing of its Sixth District as being gerrymandered against their constitutional rights. Those voters moved for a preliminary injunction in the district court, to allow the creation of a new districting map. The district court denied that relief and stayed the proceedings pending the decision in [Gill v. Whitford](#). On appeal, a *per curiam* Court affirmed, holding that the voters were not entitled to a preliminary injunction (which would have prevented use of the existing district map) because they failed to show reasonable diligence by waiting six years to file suit, and the relief would not serve the public interest by having a "chaotic and disruptive effect upon the electoral process."

TAGGED: [scotus](#), [Gerrymandering](#), [Gill v. Whitford](#), [Injunctive Relief](#), [Benisek v. Lamone](#), [preliminary injunction](#)